Research article

Vasopressin-dependent flank marking in golden hamsters is suppressed by drugs used in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder

Craig F Ferris^{*1}, Mads F Rasmussen², Tara Messenger¹ and Gary Koppel³

Address: ¹Program in Behavioral Neuroscience, Department of Psychiatry, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, 01655, USA, ²Laboratory of Neuropsychiatry, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark and ³Department of Chemistry, Butler University, Indianapolis, 46208, USA

E-mail: Craig F Ferris* - craig.ferris@umassmed.edu; Mads F Rasmussen - mads.rasmussen@dadlnet.dk; Tara Messenger - tara.messenger@unmassmed.edu; Gary Koppel - garyak@pop.iquest.net *Corresponding author

Published: 15 August 2001

BMC Neuroscience 2001, 2:10

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/2/10

© 2001 Ferris et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. Verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in any medium for any non-commercial purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL. For commercial use, contact info@biomedcentral.com

Abstract

Background: Alterations in arginine vasopressin regulation and secretion have been proposed as one possible biochemical abnormality in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. In golden hamsters, arginine vasopressin microinjections into the anterior hypothalamus trigger robust grooming and flank marking, a stereotyped scent marking behaviors. The intensity and repetition of the behaviors induced by arginine vasopressin is somewhat reminiscent of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder in humans. The present experiments were carried out to test whether pharmacological agents used to alleviate obsessive compulsive disorder could inhibit arginine vasopressin-induced flank marking and grooming.

Results: Male golden hamsters were treated daily for two weeks with either vehicle, fluoxetine, clomipramine, or desipramine (an ineffective drug), before being tested for arginine vasopressininduced flank marking and grooming. Flank marking was significantly inhibited in animals treated with fluoxetine or clomipramine but unaffected by treatment with desipramine. Grooming behavior was not affected by any treatment.

Conclusion: These data suggest that arginine vasopressin-induced flank marking may serve as an animal model for screening drugs used in the control of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder.

Background

In golden hamsters, microinjections of arginine vasopressin (AVP) into the anterior hypothalamus trigger grooming and flank marking, a stereotyped scent marking behavior [1,2]. Within a minute after AVP microinjections, hamsters start to lick and comb sebaceous glands situated on their dorsolateral flanks. Bouts of flank gland grooming may be so intense that the flanks are left matted and soaked in saliva. Flank marking behavior is observed soon after the onset of grooming, and usually within two minutes of the microinjection. Flank marking is a scent marking behavior involved in olfactory communication [3], and is characterized by arching the back and rubbing the flank glands vigorously against objects in the environment. The concentrations of AVP required to induce flank marking are low (ranging from

Received: 7 June 2001 Accepted: 15 August 2001

0.1 to 100 μ M) [4,5] and the volumes microinjected in the anterior hypothalamus are small (ranging from 20 to 100 nl). The activation of flank marking is dose-dependent, and specific to AVP, as microinjections of other neuropeptides, excitatory amino acids, and catecholamines do not elicit the behavior [1,2,6]. Flank marking is also specific to AVP V1 receptors, as the behavior is selectively inhibited by V 1 receptor antagonists and activated by V1 receptor agonists [4,5].

The behavioral effects of AVP are not limited to flank marking in hamsters. Vasopressin is a neurotransmitter released centrally to affect various behaviors, e.g. arousal [7], temperature regulation [8,9], aggression [10,11], memory [12] and grooming [13]. However, the ability of centrally acting AVP to induce intense stereotyped behaviors [1,14] raises the possibility that this neuropeptide may be associated with the induction of compulsive behaviors in humans. Indeed, alterations in AVP regulation and secretion are thought to be one possible biochemical abnormality in patients with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) [15-18]. This disorder is characterized by sudden, recurrent thoughts or images that intrude into consciousness (obsessions) driving stereotyped acts that the person feels compelled to perform (compulsions) [19]. Interestingly, patients with OCD show elevated basal levels of AVP in the cerebrospinal fluid and exaggerated plasma levels of AVP in response to hypertonic challenge [16]. Many patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa, both compulsive eating disorders, have abnormal levels of AVP in the cerebrospinal fluid and plasma [15,17]. Pediatric patients with severe OCD have low levels of AVP in cerebrospinal fluid, while a subgroup of pediatric patients with compulsive checking rituals has elevated AVP levels [18]. Hence, there are many clinical examples of compulsive behavior associated with a perturbation in the AVP system.

Given the association between AVP and OCD and the stereotyped nature of AVP-induced flank gland grooming and flank marking, the present studies were undertaken to determine whether clomipramine and fluoxetine, two drugs used to treat OCD in humans [20] might inhibit these AVP-induced behaviors. Clomipramine is a non-selective re-uptake inhibitor of serotonin, and to some extent norepinephrine [21] and fluoxetine is a selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor [22]. Both of these drugs have proven to be effective in different animals models of OCD [23]. As an additional control, hamsters were also treated with desipramine, a noradrenergic re-uptake inhibitor [24] that has been found ineffective in inhibiting OCD in preclinical [25] and clinical studies [26,27].



Figure I

Flank marking and grooming behavior (Mean \pm SEM) following microinjection of arginine vasopressin (10 μM in 100 nl saline) in the anterior hypothalamus of golden hamsters treated with vehicle (Veh), clomipramine (Clom), desipramine (Des), and fluoxetine (Fluox). All animals were treated with 2 mg/day for 2 weeks.

Results

Microinjection of 10 μ M AVP caused robust grooming and flank marking. In fact, animals groomed for up to 50% of the duration of the tests. The comparisons between groups showed some effects of treatment on flank marking activity (F_(3,25) = 3.6, p < 0.05) (Fig. 1). Animals treated with clomipramine or fluoxetine flank marked significantly less than vehicle-treated animals (p < 0.05). Animals treated with desipramine flank marked as much as vehicle-treated animals (p > 0.1), but flank marked more than animals treated with clomipramine (p < 0.05). However, the comparisons failed to show any effect of treatment on grooming activity (F_(3,25) = 0.61, p > 0.1) (Fig. 1). Indeed, regardless of treatment groups, the animals spent a similar amount of time grooming after microinjection of AVP.

Clomipramine caused a significant ($F_{(2,15)} = 124 \text{ p} < 0.001$) dose-dependent reduction in flank marking induced by microinjection of 1.0 μ M AVP (Fig 2). Flank gland grooming was unaffected by drug treatments ($F_{(2,15)} = .009 \text{ p} > 0.9$)

Discussion

In the present experiments, AVP-induced grooming and flank marking behaviors were tested in hamsters exposed to chronic treatment with psychotropic drugs shown in preclinical and clinical studies to be effective in the treatment of OCD [20,28]. The results show differential responsiveness to these treatments. While grooming remained unaffected, flank marking was inhibited by treatment with fluoxetine and clomipramine but not



Figure 2

Clomipramine treatment results in a dose-dependent inhibition of flank marking behavioral following two weeks of clomipramine treatment. Flank marking was triggered by the microinjection of 1.0 μ M AVP in the anterior hypothalamus.

desipramine. Clomipramine showed a dose-dependent decrease in flank marking. These observations lead to two main conclusions. The first conclusion is related to the neurochemical properties of fluoxetine and clomipramine. As noted earlier, fluoxetine is a selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor while clomipramine is a nonselective re-uptake inhibitor of serotonin, and to some extent norepinephrine. The effectiveness of these drugs presupposes a serotonin mechanism is involved in the suppression of AVP-induced flank marking. The second conclusion is related to observations on grooming. While bouts of grooming are coincident with flank marking, the selective inhibition of flank marking and not grooming by clomipramine and fluoxetine suggest the two behaviors employ different neuronal networks and neurochemical signals for their control. Although earlier studies have implicated grooming behavior as a phenomenological correspondent to OCD [25,29] inhibited by drugs like clomipramine or fluoxetine, the situation appears different for AVP-induced grooming in hamsters. In canine acral lick dermatitis, the excessive licking of the paws and flanks was significantly reduced by fluoxetine and clomipramine two and three weeks into treatment, respectively [25]. However, the scale of the reduction was more pronounced over a five-week period of treatment [25]. Perhaps flank gland grooming would

have been significantly altered in these studies if drug treatment had been extended for several more weeks.

The present data show that AVP-induced flank marking is selectively inhibited by chronic treatment with serotonin re-uptake inhibitors such as fluoxetine or clomipramine, instead of noradrenergic re-uptake inhibitors such as desipramine. Similar patterns of results have been observed in animal models of OCD such as canine acral lick [25]. These observations are not limited to animal models. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors are recognized as an effective biological treatment for OCD [20]. In a double blind, placebo control study, treating over 500 patients with OCD, clomipramine was found to be superior to placebo [30]. Differences between clomipramine and placebo were noted as early as one week after the start of treatment, with significant clinical improvement occurring after six weeks of treatment.

Fluoxetine also results in a significant reduction in OCD severity that takes several weeks to realize [31,32]. This therapeutic delay has been related to a down-regulation of serotonin autoreceptors with chronic exposure to elevated serotonin [33,34]. Together, these data have been used to link OCD with a dysfunction in serotonin neurotransmission [35]. Of course, it is unclear whether prolonged treatment with these re-uptake inhibitors is restricted to serotonin alone or impact on the activity of other neurotransmitter systems. For example, chronic treatment with fluoxetine decreases the release of AVP from rat hypothalamic organ cultures [36]. This finding is particularly noteworthy since alterations in AVP levels in the cerebrospinal fluid have been correlated with occurrences of OCD [15,16]. The occurrence of OCD has also been correlated with increased levels of oxytocin, a neuropeptide closely related to AVP [37]. In golden hamsters, the elevation of serotonin in the anterior hypothalamus following fluoxetine treatment can inhibit the activity of the AVP system related to aggressive behavior [10,11]. The ability of microinjected AVP in the anterior hypothalamus to enhance aggressive behavior in golden hamsters is blocked by fluoxetine treatment and serotonin 5HT_{1A} receptor agonists [10]. The present data include AVP-stimulated flank marking in the list of behaviors suppressed by fluoxetine treatment.

Conclusion

These results show AVP-induced flank marking is inhibited by chronic treatment with fluoxetine or clomipramine, but not desipramine. This pattern of responsiveness to drug treatment suggests that AVP-induced flank marking may be used as a model for screening psychotropics used in the treatment of OCD. It is a cost-efficient, ethical alternative to existing models, and can be useful in further advancing our understanding of OCD. Future studies could focus on effects of long-term elevation of AVP in hamsters; dose-response manipulations of psychotropics or clinical use of AVP receptor antagonists on humans to further investigate the role of AVP in OCD.

Materials and methods Animals and Treatment

Experiments were performed on adult male golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*) weighing approximately 160 g. The animals underwent stereotaxic surgery, and were allowed to recover before behavioral testing. The hamsters were kept on a reverse light cycle (14L: 10D, lights on at 19:00) in Plexiglas cages, and received food and water *ad libitum*. All behavioral tests were performed during the dark phase of the circadian cycle under dim red illumination. All animals were acquired and cared for in accordance with the guidelines published in the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Animals were divided into four treatment groups: vehicle control (Veh, 75% Tris-buffer saline, pH 7.4, and 25% dimethyl sulfoxide; n=9), clomipramine hydrochloride (Clom, 2 mg/day; n=8), desipramine hydrochloride (Des, 2 mg/day; n=9) or fluoxetine hydrochloride (Fluox, 2 mg/day, n=8). Animals were given subcutaneous injections each morning for two consecutive weeks. The doses and treatment regimen for each drug were comparable to those used in several preclinical studies examining the pharmacological control of OCD [25,38,39]. Acute drug trials were not attempted as the experimental design followed that of previously published studies [25,38,39]. After 2 weeks of treatment, hamsters were tested for flank marking after a microinjection of AVP (10 µM in 100 nl saline). This dose results in a maximal activation of flank marking [5]. Vasopressin was microinjected into the anterior hypothalamus of the hamsters with a 1 μ l Hamilton syringe connected through PE-20 tubing to a 33-ga needle which was inserted in the guide cannula and lowered to the target site. Vasopressin-triggered flank marking occurs with 60 sec of microinjection and lasts for ca. 4-5 min [5]. A trained observer recorded the number of flank marks and the amount of time spent grooming for a 10minute period. All behaviors were videotaped for further verification. Flank marking tests were run ca. 60–90 min after subcutaneous drug treatments. The results were compared between groups with ANOVAs followed by Fisher PLSD post-hoc tests.

Although the effects of fluoxetine and clomipramine on flank marking were statistically significant in the drug comparison studies (Fig 1), the effects were limited to a 30–40% reduction. As noted, the microinjection of 10 µM AVP causes maximal flank marking, hence it is likely the inhibition of behavior with drug treatment might have been more pronounced if the animals were challenged with lower doses of AVP. To this end, dose-response data for clomipramine were generated in animals microinjected with 1.0 µM AVP. Three groups of six animals each were treated with vehicle, 0.001 mg/kg or 0.03 mg/kg of clomipramine each day for two consecutive weeks. Since the initial drug trials were effective with fluoxetine and clomipramine given in a dose of 2 mg/ day, this dose-response study worked in a range of 100-10,000 times less clomipramine used in the initial trial. On the day of testing, animals were treated with clomipramine and 60-90 min later microinjected with 1.0 µM AVP in a volume of 100 nl and scored for flank marking and flank gland grooming.

Stereotaxic Surgery/Histology

Stereotaxic surgery was performed under pentobarbital (Nembutal, 35 mg/kg, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, I<) anaesthesia. The Stereotaxic coordinates were: 1.1 mm anterior to the bregma, 1.8 mm lateral to the midsagittal suture at an 8° angle from the vertical line, and 4.5 mm below the dura. A unilateral 26-gauge guide cannula was lowered to the site and secured to the skull with dental cement. The guide cannula was closed with a 33ga obturator extending 1 mm beyond the guide. The inner-cannula used for microinjections extended 3.0 mm beyond the guide to reach the anterior hypothalamus. At the end of the study, animals were sacrificed by decapitation, and their brains were taken out and fixed in 10% formalin. Later, the brains were sliced on a vibratome and the sections were stained with thionin to confirm the location of the microinjection sites in the anterior hypothalamus.

Acknowledgements

The National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) Grant MH52280 supported this work. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIMH.

References

- Ferris CF, Albers HE, Wesolowski SM, Goldman BD, Leeman SE: Vasopressin injected into the hypothalamus triggers a stereotypic behavior in golden hamsters. Science 1984, 224:521-523
- Albers HE, Ferris CF: Behavioral effects of vasopressin and oxytocin within the medial preoptic area of the golden hamster. Regal Peptides 1985, 12:257-260
- 3. Johnston RE: Communication. In: The Hamster: Reproduction and Behavior. (Edited by Siege I HI), New York, Plenum Press 1985121-154
- 4. Albers HE, Pollock J, Simmons WH, Ferris CF: A VII-like receptor mediates vasopressin-induced flank marking behavior in hamster hypothalamus. J Neurosci 1986, 6:2085-2089
- Ferris CF, Singer EA, Meenan DM, Albers HE: Inhibition of vasopressin-stimulated flank marking behavior by VI-receptor antagonists. Eur J Pharmacol 1988, 154:153-159
- Ferris CF: Vasopressin and social behaviors in the golden hamster. In: Proceedings of the Third International Vasopressin Conference (Edited by Jard S, Jamison, R) Colloque INSERM/John Libbey Eurotext Ltd. 1991, 208:185-193
- Fehm-Wolfsdorf G, Bacholz G, Born J, Voight K, Fehm HL: Vasopressin but not oxytocin enhances cortical arousal: an inte-

grative hypothesis on the behavioral effects of neurohypophyseal hormones. Psychopharmacology (Berp) 1988, 94:496-500

- Cooper KE, Kasting NW, Lederis K, Veale WL: Evidence supporting a role for endogenous vasopressin in natural suppression of fever in the sheep. *J Physiol* 1979, 205:33-45
 Pittman QJ, Lawrence D, Mclean L: Central effects of arginine va-
- Pittman QJ, Lawrence D, Mclean L: Central effects of arginine vasopressin on blood pressure in rats. Endocrinology 1982, 110:1058-1060
- Ferris CF, Stolberg T, Delville Y: Serotonin regulation of aggressive behavior in male golden hamsters Mesocricetus auratus). Behav Neurosci 1999, 113:804-815
- Ferris CF, Melloni RH Jr, Perry KW, Fuller RW, Koppel G, Delville Y: Vasopressin/serotonin interaction in the anterior hypothalamus control aggressive behavior in golden hamsters. J Neurosci 1997, 17:4331-4340
- 12. De Wied D: Long term effect of vasopressin on the maintenance of a conditioned avoidance response in rats. *Nature* 1971, 232:58-60
- Caldwell JD, Drago F, Prange AJ Jr, Pedersen CA: A comparison of grooming behavior potencies of neurohypophyseal nonapeptides. Regul Peptides 1986, 14:261-271
- Delanoy RL, Dunn AJ, Tintner R: Behavioral responses to intracerebroventricularly administered neurohypophyseal peptides in mice. Horm Behav 1978, 11:348-362
- Gold PW, Kaye W, Robertson G, Ebert M: Abnormalities in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid arginine vasopressin in patients with anorexia nervosa. N Engl J Med 1983, 308:1117-1123
- Altemus M, Pigott T, Kalogeras KT, Demitrack M, Dubbert B, Murphy DL, Gold PW: Abnormalities in the regulation of vasopressin and corticotropin releasing factor secretion in obsessivecompulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992, 49:9-20
- Demitrack MA, Kalogeras K, Altemus M, Pigott TA, Listwak SJ, Gold PW: Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid measures of arginine vasopressin in patients with bulimia nervosa and in healthy subjects. | Clin Endocrinol Metab 1992, 74:1277-1283
- Swedo SE, Leonard HL, Kruesi MI, Rettew DC, Listwak SI, Berrenttini W, Stipetic M, Hamburger S, Gold PW, Potter WZ, et al: Cerebrospinal fluid neurochemistry in children and adolescents with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992, 49:29-36
- American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press 1994
- Goodman WK, McDougle CJ, Price LH: Pharmacotherapy of obsessive compulsive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1992, 53:29-37
- Hamberger B, Tuck JR: Effect of tricyclic antidepressants on the uptake of noradrenaline and 5-hydroxy-tryptamine by rat brain slices incubated in buffer of human plasma. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1975, 5:229-235
- 22. Stark P, Fuller RW, Wong DT: The pharmacological profile of fluoxetine. J Clin Psychiatry 1985, 46:7-13
- Greist JH, Jefferson JW, Kobak KA, Katzelnick DJ, Serlin RC: Efficacy and tolerability of serotonin transport inhibitors in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995, 52:53-60
- 24. Richelson E, Pfenning M: Blockade by antidepressants and related compounds of biogenic amine uptake into rat brain synaptosomes: most antidepressants selectively block norepinephrine uptake. Eur J Pharmacol 1984, 104:277-286
- Rapoport JL, Ryland DH, Kriete M: Drug treatment of canine acral lick. An animal model of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992, 49:517-521
- Zohar J, Insel TR: Obsessive-compulsive disorder: psychobiological approaches to diagnosis, treatment, and pathophysiology. Biol Psychiatry 1987, 22:667-687
- Swedo SE, Leonard HL, Rapoport JL, Lenane M, Cheslow D, Goldberger E: A double-blind comparison of Chlomipramine and desipramine in the treatment of trichotillomania (hair pulling) N Engl J Med 1989, 321:497-501
- Insel TR, Winslow J: Neurobiology of obsessive-compulsive disorder. In: Obsessive-Compulsive Disorders: Theory and Management (Edited by Jenike M, Baer L, Minichiello W), 2nd ed. St Louis: Mosby-Year Book 1990118-131
- Stein DL, Shoulberg N, Helton K, Hollander E: The neuroethological approach to obsessive-compulsive disorder. Comprehensive Psychiatry 1992, 33:274-281

- The Clomipramine Collaborative Study Group: Clomipramine in the treatment of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991, 48:730-738
- Montgomery SA, McIntyre A, Osterheider M, Sarteschi P, Zitterl W, Zohar J, Birkett M, Wood AJ: A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of fluoxetine in patients with DSM-II-R obsessive-compulsive disorder. The Lilly European OCD Study Group. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 1993, 3:143-152
- 32. Tollefson GD, Rampey AH Jr, Potvin JH, Jenike MA, Rush AJ, Kominguez RA, Koran LM, Shear MK, Goodman W, Genduso LA: A multicenter investigation of fixed-dose fluoxetine in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994, 51:559-567
- Blier P, de Montigny C, Chaput Y: Modification of the serotonin system by antidepressant treatments: Implications for the therapeutic response in major depression. J Clin Psychopharmacol 1987, 7:24S-35S
- Mongeau R, Blier P, de Montigny C: The serotonergic and noradrenergic systems of the hippocampus: Their interactions and the effects of antidepressant treatments. Brain Res Rev 1997, 23:145-195
- Insel TR, Mueller EA, Alterman I, Linnoila, Murphy DL: Obsessivecompulsive disorder and serotonin: is there a connection? *Biol Psychiatry* 1985, 20:1174-1188
- Altemus M, Cizza G, Gold PW: Chronic fluoxetine treatment reduces hypothalamic vasopressin secretion in vitro. Brain Res 1992, 593:311-313
- Leckman JF, Goodman WK, North WG, Chappell PB, Price LH, Pauls DL, Anderson GM, Riddle MA, McDougle CJ, Barr LC, et al: The role of central oxytocin in obsessive compulsive disorder and related normal behavior. Psychoneuroendocrinoly 1994, 19:723-749
- Grindlinger H, Ramsey E: Compulsive feather picking in birds. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991, 48:857
- Altemus M, Glowa JR, Galliven E, Leong Y-M, Murphy DL: Effects of serotonergic agents on food-restriction-induced hyperactivity. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 1996, 53:123-131

